

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

LUANNE GOULD

Plaintiff(s)

v.

CIVIL ACTION

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Defendant(s)

NO. 05-11118 PBS

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO DISTRICT JUDGE SARIS

- [ ] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.  
[ X ] On October 6, 2005 I held the following ADR proceeding:

       SCREENING CONFERENCE        EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION  
X       MEDIATION        SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL  
       MINI-TRIAL        SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

All parties were represented by counsel [except \_\_\_\_\_]

The parties were / were not present in person or by authorized corporate officer [except \_\_\_\_\_].

The case was:

- [ ] Settled. Your clerk should enter a \_\_\_\_\_ day order of dismissal.  
[ ] There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_ unless the case is reported settled prior to that date.  
[ X ] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.  
[ ] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

OCTOBER 7, 2005

DATE

/S/ JOYCE LONDON ALEXANDER

ADR Provider

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE